

**A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL PRONOMINAL
IN ROTE LANGUAGES**

A THESIS



SHELLIN THEOMA FOEH

**ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
ARTHA WACANA CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY**

KUPANG

2025

**A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL PRONOMINAL
IN ROTE LANGUAGES**

**This Thesis is presented as a Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan* in English Education Study Program**



SHELLIN THEOMA FOEH

Reg. No : 19130054

**ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM
FACULTY OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION
ARTHA WACANA CHRISTIAN UNIVERSITY**

KUPANG

2025

LEGITIMATION PAGE



This is to certify that this thesis entitled *A Comparative Analysis of Personal Pronominal In Rote Languages* written by *Shellin Theoma Foeh* has been defended in a thesis examination and has been approved by the following Board of Examiners as the final requirement to be graduated as *Sarjana Pendidikan (S.Pd)* majoring in English Language Education.

Examination Date: _____

The Board of Examiners:

	Name	Signature	Date
1 First Examiner	Prof. Dr. Magdalena Ngongo, M.Pd NUPTK. 0844738639230092		15/7/25
2 Second Examiner	Zuvyati A. Tionaen, S.S., M.Pd NUPTK. 6449763664230202		12/7/25
3 Third Examiner	Theresia M. Tamelan, S.Pd., M.App.Ling., Ph.D NUPTK. 1047757658231203		12/7/25
4 Fourth Examiner	Eltina A. Maromon, S.Pd., M.Pd NUPTK. 5547759660237013		15/7/25

Legitimated by,

Dean of Teachers Training and Education
Faculty



(Drs. Angretni D. N. Kupidara, M.Si., Ph.D)
NUPTK. 7157710647230103

Head of English Education
Study Program

(Ifoni Ludji, S.Pd., M.Hum)
NUPTK. 9955764665230232

Moto

**Yesterday I was strong because God did not leave me.
Today I am still strong because God has not left me,
and tomorrow I will remain strong because God will always be with me
Isaiah 41:13**

DEDICATION

The researcher would like to dedicate this thesis to the people who have given their prayers, love, motivation, and support from the beginning until the end of this study at Artha Wacana Christian University. They are:

1. Her beloved Father Lord Jesus Christ for strength and blessing during the process of writing, so that she could complete it.
2. Her beloved Almamater Artha Wacana Christian University, especially the English Education Study Program.
3. Her beloved father Gerson Foeh and mother Welmince Dami, always pray, support, love, motivate, and spend everything to complete this study. The writer does thank for having the best parent in his life given by God.
4. Her beloved siblings are Gracia Valentina Foeh and Mozes Alesandro Foeh. Thank you a lot for your love, material support, and prayers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, the writer would like to give his special thanks to Jesus Christ for His blessing, guidance, and love during his study, especially in finishing this writing, and for everything has given Him in his life.

The writer has a great deal of help and feedback from many people. Furthermore, from his deepest heart, he wants to express his appreciation and gratitude toward:

1. Theresia M. Tamelam, S.Pd., M.App.Ling., Ph.D as the first supervisor also, and Eltina Agustina Maromon, S.Pd., M.Pd, as the a second supervisor have patiently and kindly given valuable and continuous guidance, advice as well and encouragement in making and completing this writing.
2. Eltina Agustina Maromon M.Pd as the academic advisor.
3. Ifoni Ludji S.Pd M.Hum., as the head of the English education study program.
4. All the lectures of the Teachers Training and Education Faculty, especially all lectures of English Study Program. The success of the writer's study in this department could not have been gained without your lead.
5. All the informants who have helped the writer in giving the information to complete this writing.
6. My beloved father Rocky Supit helped me to finish my studies and always supported me.
7. My beloved friends: Arlin Sing, Julian Keti, Senci Marselin, Nofriati, Rita, Nofriati, Putro Nale, and Jhonson Tusi. Thank you a lot for always being my best partners and always supporting me in developing this writing.
8. All my classmates in B class have always been together and motivated from the first semester until the end of studying in college. Thanks a lot.
9. Thank you for Febrio for being an encouragement, a listener to complaints in writing a thesis, a good advisor and always giving love.

10. All TPS-KU who have always supported and motivated her to complete the study
11. All persistent SMA 4 Kupang, KTB Minggu, and KTB SMA 4 who always support, pray, motivate to complete the study
12. All PAR Jeloistic have always supported and motivated me to complete this study.
13. All people who cannot be mentioned individually for their great contribution to the process of writing this thesis.

There is nothing valuable offered to them except his prayer to the Almighty God as a reward to all of them. Finally, the writer realized that this writing is still not perfect. Therefore, the writer hopes some comments, suggestions, and corrections for improvement of this writing are welcomed.

Kupang, 10 January 2025

The Writer

Shellin Theoma Foeh

ABSTRACT

Student, Shellin T. Foeh¹ Supervisor1, Theresia M, Tamelan² Supervisor2, Eltina A. Maromon³

The title of this paper is "Comparative Analysis of Personal Pronominals in Rote Language". This study was conducted to answer the questions: What are the personal pronouns in Rote languages? What are the similarities and differences of personal pronominals in Rote language? The purpose of this study is to compare the forms of personal pronominals from three languages, namely Tii, Dela, and Rikou. The study used a qualitative method. To obtain the data, the author interviewed nine informants who were native speakers of Rote Tii, Dela, and Rikou. The instruments used in this study were - interview and -documentary. The results of the data analysis showed differences and similarities in personal pronominals in three Rote languages. There are similarities in the Rote language we can see the similarities and differences between Rote Tii, Dela and Rikou. Tii, Dela, and Rikou languages both use *au* '1sg'. However, for the second and the third-person singular, differences appear. In the use of the second pronoun you Rote Tii and Rikou both use the word *o* '2SG' while the dela languages use *ho* '2SG' in the subject change to *ngo* '2SG', For the mention of '3SG' in Rote the is equality and there will be same mention but it will be different in Rote Tii, Dela and Rikou. But in different cases would be polite if we call parents such as mothers, father, and others, replace it with mother and father. The term *mama* or *bapa* is a polite term for all three languages, however, it is different when we call people of the same age. '3SG' in Rote languages are used for all genders in Tii we use *ara* '1PI&2PL' in subject and object we called *ena* '1PI&2PL' and Dela languages uses *ana* '1PI&2PL' in subject and object we called *eni* '1PI&2PL' and rikou languages uses *ana* '1PI&2PL' in subject and object we called *ena* '1PI&2PL' the last one, it Tii languages as subject *na* '3PL' and in object *ndia* '3PL', in Dela languages as subject uses *sira* '3PL' and as object *se* '3PL' and Rikou languages as subject uses *rina* '3PL' and as object is *ria* '3PL'. The writer hopes this study contributes to the understanding of personal pronoun variation in the Rote language and highlights the linguistic diversity among its languages.

Keywords: *comparative analysis, personal pronominal, Rote languages*

ABSTRAK

Student, Shellin T. Foeh¹ Supervisor1, Theresia M, Tamelan² Supervisor2, Eltina A. Maromon³

Judul tulisan ini adalah “Analisis Comparatif Pronominal Pribadi Dalam Bahasa Rote”. Penelitian ini dilakukan untuk menjawab pertanyaan yaitu: Apa kata ganti orang dalam bahasa Rote? Apa persamaan dan perbedaan personal pronominal dalam bahasa Rote?. Tujuan dari penelitian ini untuk mengetahui bentuk-bentuk pronominal pribadi dari tiga bahasa yaitu Tii, Dela dan Rikou. Metode yang digunakan adalah metode kualitatif. Untuk mendapatkan data tersebut, penulis mewawancarai sembilan informan penutur asli Rote Tii, Dela dan Rikou.. Instrumen yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah wawancara dan dokumenter. Hasil analisis data menunjukkan adanya perbedaan dan persamaan pronominal pribadi dalam tiga bahasa Rote. Bahasa Tii, Dela dan Rikou sama-sama menggunakan kata *au* untuk kata ganti pertama. Namun untuk kata ganti kedua dan ketiga mulai muncul adanya perbedaan. Penggunaan kata ganti kedua rote tii dan Rikou sama-sama menggunakan kata ‘o’ sedangkan untuk bahasa dela menggunakan kata ‘ho’. Untuk kata ganti laki-laki dan perempuan tidak adanya perbedaan penyebutan atau disebut kesetaraan gender tapi akan berubah setiap daerahnya dalam bahasa Tii dan dela dia (laki-laki dan perempuan) sebagai subjek disebut *ara*, sebagai objek disebut ‘*ena*’, dalam bahasa Dela sebagai subjek disebut ‘*ana*’ sebagai objek disebut ‘*eni*’ dalam bahasa Rikou sebagai Subjek disebut ‘*ana*’ dan sebagai objek disebut ‘*ena*’ yang terakhir kata ini sebagai subjek dalam bahasa Tii ‘*na*’ sebagai objek disebut ‘*ndia*, sebagai subjek dalam bahasa Dela ‘*sira*’ dan sebagai objek ‘*se*’ dan sebagai subjek dalam bahasa Rikou ‘*rina*’ sebagai objek ‘*ria*’. Dari ketiga kata ganti tersebut kita dapat lihat adanya perbedaan dan persamaan dari ketiga bahasa untuk kita pelajari. penulis berharap tulisan ini dapat memberikan banyak kontribusi yang berharga untuk membangun pengetahuan terkait adanya perbedaan bahasa Rote khususnya bahasa Tii, Dela dan Rikou.

Kata kunci: *analisis perbandingan, pronominal personal, bahasa rote*

Contents

MOTO	III
DEDICATION.....	VI
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	VII
ABSTRACT.....	IX
CHAPTER I.....	
INTRODUCTION.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
1.1 Background	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2 Research problem	
1.3 Aims of study.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4 Significance of study	
1.4.1 Implication	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4.2 Application	Error! Bookmark not defined.
CHAPTER II.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
2.1 Review of Related Studies	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2 Concept.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.1 Comparative Analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.2 Personal pronominal.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.2.3 Rote languages	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3 Theoretical Framework	
2.3.1 Pronominal	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3.2 Types of Pronominal.....	
2.3.3 Personal pronominal.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

CHAPTER III	
RESEARCH METHOD	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
3.1 Research Design	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2 Type and Data Resource	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3 Data Collection Technique and Research Instrument	
3.4 Research Procedures	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5 Data analysis	Error! Bookmark not defined.
BAB IV	
FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
4.1 FINDINGS	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.1.1 Personal pronominal in Rote Tii, Dela and Rikou Error! Bookmark not defined.	
4.1.2 The similarities and the differences of personal pronominal in Tii, Dela and Rikou languages	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2 DISCUSSION	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.2 First person singular in Tii, Dela, and Rikou Languages	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.3 Second person singular in Tii, Dela, and Rikou languages	Error! Bookmark not defined.
4.2.4 Third person singular in Tii, Dela, and Rikou languages	
CHAPTER V	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
5.1 CONCLUSION	

5.2 SUGGESTION.....

BIBLIOGRAPHYERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

APPENDICESERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.

MANETUALAIN DEDE'A-KOKOLAN..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

LAMATUALAIN DED'EA'-'O'OLAN..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

MANETUAKAIN HARA-DASIN..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

PERMISSION LETTER

DOCUMENTATION.....