

## INTISARI

Judul skripsi penulis adalah : **Deskripsi Tentang Penyelesaian Wanprestasi Dalam Perjanjian Kerja Sama Pengisian Bahan Bakar Minyak Solar Antar CV.Karta Muda Dan PT.Arief Mitra Raya:** Nama penulis : Benedikta Rosa Benfa,Nim:20310039 Permasalahan yang diangkat dalam penelitian ini adalah: Apa yang menyebabkan terjadinya wanprestasi. Mengapa putusan Pengadilan Negeri mengabulkan gugatan pengugat sebagian. Mengapa Pengadilan Tinggi membatalkan putusan Pengadilan Negeri dengan menolak gugatan penggugat.Mengapa Mahkamah Agung membatalkan putusan Pengadilan Tinggi dan mengabulkan gugatan penggugat sebagian.

Tujuan penelitian adalah : Untuk mengetahui Sebab terjadinya wanprestasi, Untuk mengetahui alasan Pengadilan Negeri mengabulkan gugatan untuk sebagian. Untuk mengetahui mengapa Pengadilan Tinggi membatalkan putusan Pengadilan Negeri dengan menolak gugatan penggugat.Untuk mengetahui mengapa Mahkamah Agung membatalkan Putusan Pengadilan Tinggi dan mengabulkan gugatan penggugat sebagian. Penelitian ini bersifat deskriptif yaitu penulis mendeskripsikan atau menggambarkan, menguraikan dan menjelaskan tentang terjadinya penyelesaian wanprestasi dalam perjanjian kerja sama pengisian bahan bakar minyak solar antar CV.Karta Muda dan PT.Arief Mitra Raya.

Dalam penelitian ini menggunakan dua variabel, yaitu: Variabel bebas dan Variabel terikat., variabel bebas dalam penelitian ini adalah: Sebab terjadinya wanprestasi., variabel terikat dalam penelitian ini adalah: Putusan hakim tentang penyelesaian wanprestasi dalam perjanjian kerja sama bahan bakar minyak solar.

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian yang penulis lakukan maka kesimpulan yang diambil adalah sebab terjadinya wanprestasi. Tergugat lalai memenuhi kewajibannya dalam perjanjian.Adanya kejadian yang dilakukan tergugat akibat kecelakaan karyawan tersebut. Alasan Pengadilan Negeri mengabulkan gugatan sebagian,Karna terbukti ada perjanjian anantara pengugat dan tergugat,Tergugat terbukti melakukan perjanjian.Mengapa Pengadilan Tinggi membatalkan putusan Pengadilan Negeri dengan menolak gugatan pengguat.Karna perbuatan Tergugat bukan wanprestasi tetapi penundaan perjanjian , tergugat tidak terbukti melakukan wanprestasi.Mahkamah Agung mebatalkan putusan Pengadilan Tinggi dan mengabulkan gugatan penggugat sebagian, karna kesalahannpenerapan hukum terhadap perjanjian, tergugat terbukti melakukan wanprestasi. Saran kepada parah pihak yang melakukan perjanjian kerja sama baik dari pihak CV. Karta Muda dan PT. Arief Mitra Raya agar tidak lalai dalam kewajibannya untuk memenuhi perjanjian sehingga tidak terjadi wanprestasi Kepada majelis hakim agar menjatuhkan putusan yang seadilnya-adilnya kepada pihak yang terbukti tidak memenuhi kewajibannya dalam perjanjian.

***Kata Kunci: Perjanjian, Wanprestasi, Bahan Bakar Minyak.***

## ESSENCE

Title Description of the Settlement of Defaults in the Cooperation Agreement for Refueling Diesel Oil Between CV.Karta Muda and PT.Arief Mitra Raya" The problem raised in this research is: The author' name is Benedikta Rosa Benafa, Student ID: 20310039. What causes defaults to occur. Why did the District Court's decision grant the plaintiff's lawsuit in part? Why did the High Court overturn the District Court's decision by rejecting the plaintiff's claim. Why did the Supreme Court overturn the High Court's decision and grant the plaintiff's lawsuit in part.

The aim of the research is: To find out the causes of default, to find out the reasons why the District Court granted the lawsuit in part. To find out why the High Court overturned the District Court's decision by rejecting the plaintiff's claim. To find out why the Supreme Court overturned the High Court Decision and partially granted the plaintiff's lawsuit. This research is descriptive in nature, namely the author describes or illustrates, describes and explains the occurrence of default resolution in the cooperation agreement for refueling diesel oil between CV.Karta Muda and PT.Arief Mitra Raya.

In this research, two variables are used, namely: Independent variable and dependent variable. The independent variable in this research is: Cause of default. The dependent variable in this research is: Judge's decision regarding resolution of default in the diesel fuel cooperation agreement.

Based on the results of research conducted by the author, the conclusion drawn is the cause of default. There is a cooperation agreement between the plaintiff and the defendant. The defendant failed to fulfill his obligations in the agreement. The incident was caused by the defendant as a result of the employee's accident. The reason the District Court granted the lawsuit was partly because it was proven that there was an agreement between the plaintiff and the defendant. The defendant was proven to have entered into an agreement. Why did the High Court overturn the District Court's decision by rejecting the plaintiff's lawsuit? Because the defendant's actions were not a breach of contract but a delay in the agreement, the defendant was not proven to have committed a breach of contract. The reason the Supreme Court overturned the High Court's decision and granted the plaintiff's lawsuit was partly because of an error in applying the law to the agreement, the defendant was proven to have committed a breach of contract. Advice to serious parties who did a good cooperation agreement from the CV side. Karta Muda and PT.Arief Mitra Raya not to be negligent in his obligations to fulfill the agreement

so that there is no breach of contract. Ask the panel of judges to hand down a decision that is as fair as possible to the party that is proven not to comply obligations in the agreement.

**Keywords: Agreement, Default, Fuel Oil.**